

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/807,990	Applicant(s) MAGGENTI ET AL.	
	Examiner FATOUmata TRAORE	Art Unit 2436	

All Participants:

(1) FATOUmata TRAORE

(2) Mark E. Olds, Reg.No.46,507

Date of Interview: 18 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
13, 17, 22

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:00PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Mark E. Olds, Reg. No. 46,507, to fix the 101 issues regarding claim 13 has not been tied to to a machine, regarding claim 17, the claim recited computer readable medium, however, the specification recited an internet readable medium such HLML(see paragraph [0084]) and regarding claim 21, the claim recited means for Wherein. Authorization was given to the examiner by applicant's Representative to amend claims 13, 17, 21 and t paragraph [0084] of the specification.